

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/688,294	04/09/2001	Marija D. Ilic	0492611-0388	8883
	7590 04/19/2007 LL & STEWART LLP		EXAMINER	
TWO INTERN	ATIONAL PLACE		WEISBERGER, RICHARD C	
BOSTON, MA	02110		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3693	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	04/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/688,294	ILIC ET AL.			
Office Action Su	mmary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Richard C Weisberger	3624			
The MAILING DATE of to Period for Reply	his communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FF - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of If NO period for reply is specified above, Failure to reply within the set or extended	ROM THE MAILING DA er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 date of this communication. the maximum statutory period wild d period for reply will, by statute, on three months after the mailing of	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION	N. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communi	cation(s) filed on 1/29/2	007				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		action is non-final.				
<u>'=</u>	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pen	ding in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are obje	ected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subj	ect to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is object	cted to by the Examiner					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is	s objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made a) All b) Some * c) □	<b>.</b>	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
<i>2</i>		•				
Attachments						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-09	<del>iz</del> )	4) Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	wing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [	Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:						

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the claims the algorithm for synchronizing the request and demand bid curves is unclear. What steps does the synchronization include?

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Llic (of record).

The applicant argues that the paper does not suggest synchronizing the request and demand bid curves. To the extent that the examiner understands the scope of this term, the applicant is directed to the section entitled short term generation schedule which includes this on optimization step (a synchronizing) of electricity production.

The applicant also argues that the reference fails to teach iterating (repeating) information with the selling and purchasing entities to ensure clearing of supply and demand bids at a clearing time so that tie-line real and reactive power flows on the fie-lines interconnecting the selling entities are the same. This limitation is anticipated by matching of the demand and the production requirements as set for on page 2 paragraph 2.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard C. Weisberger whose telephone number is 571 272 6753. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM to 10:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Krammer can be reached on 571 272 6783. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Richard C Weisberger Primary Examiner (Art Unit 3693